

Before Starting the CoC Application

The CoC Consolidated Application is made up of two parts: the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing, with all of the CoC's project applications either approved and ranked, or rejected. The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for submitting both the CoC Application and the CoC Priority Listing in order for the CoC Consolidated Application to be considered complete.

The Collaborative Applicant is responsible for:

- Reviewing the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA in its entirety for specific application and program requirements.

- Using the CoC Application Detailed Instructions while completing the application in e-snaps.

- Answering all questions in the CoC application. It is the responsibility of the Collaborative Applicant to ensure that all imported and new responses in all parts of the application are fully reviewed and completed. When doing this keep in mind:

- This year, CoCs will see that a few responses have been imported from the FY 2015 CoC Application.

- For some of the questions HUD has provided documents to assist Collaborative Applicants in completing responses.

- For other questions, the Collaborative Applicant must be aware of responses provided by project applications in their Project Applications.

- Some questions require the Collaborative Applicant to attach a document to receive credit. This will be identified in the question.

- All questions marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory and must be completed in order to submit the CoC Application.

For CoC Application Detailed Instructions click [here](#).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: TX-604 - Waco/McLennan County CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Heart of Texas Homeless Coalition

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Heart of Texas Homeless Coalition

1B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Engagement

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1B-1. From the list below, select those organizations and persons that participate in CoC meetings. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if CoC meeting participants are voting members or if they sit on the CoC Board. Only select "Not Applicable" if the organization or person does not exist in the CoC's geographic area.

Organization/Person Categories	Participates in CoC Meetings	Votes, including electing CoC Board	Sits on CoC Board
Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
Law Enforcement	No	No	No
Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
Hospital(s)	No	No	No
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	No
Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	No
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	No
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	No
Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Youth advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Agencies that serve survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	No
Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
The COVE Homeless Youth Services	Yes	Yes	Yes
The Veterans One Stop	Yes	Yes	Yes
Collaboration City of Waco P.D./MHMR PATH team	Yes	Yes	No

1B-1a. Describe in detail how the CoC solicits and considers the full range of opinions from individuals or organizations with knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness in the geographic area. Please provide two examples of organizations or individuals from the list in 1B-1 to answer this question.

The HOTHHC is located in McLennan County and serves an additional five surrounding or nearby rural and sparsely populated counties that have few homeless services. Member agencies include those that serve families with children, school districts with unaccompanied youth, veterans, victims of domestic violence, street homeless, chronic homeless with substance abuse and/or mental health issues, and law enforcement as well as faith-based organizations who want to contribute in some way. Numerous stakeholders are involved, including the City of Waco, who serves as the CoC lead agency, Waco ISD, and MHMR. Membership also includes formerly homeless persons. Each year the CoC's top priorities are identified, sub-committees are formed to work toward solutions for these priorities. Members select which committee on which they would like to serve and then report to the Coalition. Membership is open and the community is notified by the HOTHHC website, social media, and email.

1B-1b. List Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded and other youth homeless assistance providers (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

Youth Service Provider (up to 10)	RHY Funded?	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 20, 2016.
The COVE	No	Yes	No
Unbound "human trafficking organization"	No	Yes	No
Central Texas Youth Services	Yes	Yes	No

1B-1c. List the victim service providers (CoC Program and non-CoC

Program funded) who operate within the CoC's geographic area. Then select "Yes" or "No" to indicate if each provider is a voting member or sits on the CoC Board.

Victim Service Provider for Survivors of Domestic Violence (up to 10)	Participated as a Voting Member in at least two CoC Meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016	Sat on CoC Board as active member or official at any point between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016.
Family Abuse Center	Yes	Yes
Advocacy Center	No	No
STARRY Counseling Center	Yes	No

1B-2. Explain how the CoC is open to proposals from entities that have not previously received funds in prior CoC Program competitions, even if the CoC is not applying for new projects in 2016. (limit 1000 characters)

On July 21, 2016, the City of Waco which is the lead organization for the CoC published information regarding the FY2016 project application on City of Waco website and the Heart of Texas Homeless Coalition website and Facebook page and was emailed out to local community stakeholders. The NOFA and an application for new applications were also posted on both websites and a special meeting was called for the Agency Advisory Committee, made up of past and present sub-recipients along with members of community who aid in bringing services to the homeless. Each year the CoC submits public notice for new project applications. Efforts are made to ensure that new entities are aware of the funding opportunity and encouraged to apply. The CoC works with interested providers who may be providing similar services for purposes of collaboration, by helping the potential applicant understand the local selection and review process, as well as the national selection process.

1B-3. How often does the CoC invite new members to join the CoC through a publicly available invitation? Annually

1C. Continuum of Care (CoC) Coordination

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1C-1. Does the CoC coordinate with Federal, State, Local, private and other entities serving homeless individuals and families and those at risk of homelessness in the planning, operation and funding of projects? Only select "Not Applicable" if the funding source does not exist within the CoC's geographic area.

Funding or Program Source	Coordinates with Planning, Operation and Funding of Projects
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
Head Start Program	Yes
Housing and service programs funded through Federal, State and local government resources.	Yes

1C-2. The McKinney-Vento Act, requires CoC's to participate in the Consolidated Plan(s) (Con Plan(s)) for the geographic area served by the CoC. The CoC Program Interim rule at 24 CFR 578.7 (c) (4) requires the CoC to provide information required to complete the Con Plan(s) within the CoC's geographic area, and 24 CFR 91.100(a)(2)(i) and 24 CFR 91.110 (b)(2) requires the State and local Con Plan jurisdiction(s) consult with the CoC. The following chart asks for the information about CoC and Con Plan jurisdiction coordination, as well as CoC and ESG recipient coordination.

CoCs can use the CoCs and Consolidated Plan Jurisdiction Crosswalk to assist in answering this question.

	Number
Number of Con Plan jurisdictions with whom the CoC geography overlaps	1
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC participate with in their Con Plan development process?	1
How many Con Plan jurisdictions did the CoC provide with Con Plan jurisdiction level PIT data?	1
How many of the Con Plan jurisdictions are also ESG recipients?	1
How many ESG recipients did the CoC participate with to make ESG funding decisions?	1
How many ESG recipients did the CoC consult with in the development of ESG performance standards and evaluation process for ESG funded activities?	1

1C-2a. Based on the responses provided in 1C-2, describe in greater detail how the CoC participates with the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s) located in the CoC's geographic area and include the frequency and type of interactions between the CoC and the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction(s). (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC consults and collaborates with the City of Waco, which is the only Consolidated Plan jurisdiction located in the geographic area covered by the CoC. The City of Waco serves as the CoC lead agency and the staff member responsible for drafting the Consolidated Plan is housed in the same city department as staff that coordinates the activities of the CoC. This co-location lends itself to natural collaboration. The Consolidated Plan is completed every 5 years and an Annual Action Plan is drafted each year. The CoC meets with the consultant hired by the City of Waco for the 5 year plan and spends approximately 4 hours per week during a 3-month period when the plan is being drafted. The CoC also works with the City of Waco for approximately 2 hours a week over a 2-month period during the Annual Action Report and provides data, such as PIT count data, for use in the consolidated plan. This collaboration is done through in-person meetings and email.

1C-2b. Based on the response in 1C-2, describe how the CoC is working with ESG recipients to determine local ESG funding decisions and how the CoC assists in the development of performance standards and evaluation of outcomes for ESG-funded activities. (limit 1000 characters)

The Waco community receives ESG funding from the State of Texas and the funds are allocated based on priorities set, based on current needs, by the CoC Committee. The funding of a project requires approval from the State of Texas, the CoC, and the City of Waco to approve a project. ESG funding currently is deposited directly to recipients. The agency who receives ESG funding is provided with PIT and HMIS data in order to aid in the development of performance standards. HMIS data, or the equivalent for domestic violence providers, is required to be collected for ESG projects for the use of documenting and evaluating performance. The previous year's data is evaluated and the scoring tool used heavily weights performance and all data must be certified by the HMIS Administrator as accurate. All projects in the past six years have been tied directly to the CoC and reflected the priority of housing for families. The CoC assists ESG recipients in developing performance standards.

1C-3. Describe how the CoC coordinates with victim service providers and non-victim service providers (CoC Program funded and non-CoC funded) to ensure that survivors of domestic violence are provided housing and services that provide and maintain safety and security. Responses must address how the service providers ensure and maintain the safety and security of participants and how client choice is upheld. (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC coordinates with Family Abuse Center (FAC) to maintain a high level of safety and security for those receiving its services. FAC abides by all safety protocols, its location is undisclosed, and confidentiality maintained for participants. FAC utilizes a HUD approved secure data system. The CoC has instituted a policy for its coordinated assessment process where those stating that they are fleeing domestic violence are immediately referred to FAC. If the individual self-determines that they do not wish to receive services from FAC, then they are able to complete the coordinated assessment intake tool (VI-SPDAT) and receive the next most appropriate shelter intervention of all services available within the CoC. If an individual or family present at FAC and wish to receive non-domestic violence services then they would be referred to the coordinated intake locations to complete the VI-SPDAT where they can receive all eligible services, including CoC and non-CoC programs.

1C-4. List each of the Public Housing Agencies (PHAs) within the CoC's geographic area. If there are more than 5 PHAs within the CoC's geographic area, list the 5 largest PHAs. For each PHA, provide the percentage of new admissions that were homeless at the time of admission between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016 and indicate whether the PHA has a homeless admissions preference in its Public Housing and/or Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program.

Public Housing Agency Name	% New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program from 7/1/15 to 6/30/16 who were homeless at entry	PHA has General or Limited Homeless Preference
Waco Housing Authority	0.02%	Yes-Both
McGregor Housing Authority	0.00%	No
Moody Housing Authority	0.00%	No
Mart Housing Authority	0.00%	Yes-Both

If you select "Yes--Public Housing," "Yes--HCV," or "Yes--Both" for "PHA has general or limited homeless preference," you must attach documentation of the preference from the PHA in order to receive credit.

1C-5. Other than CoC, ESG, Housing Choice Voucher Programs and Public Housing, describe other subsidized or low-income housing opportunities that exist within the CoC that target persons experiencing homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

The City of Waco (COW) facilitated the construction of several low-income housing tax credit developments in the CoC area. These Developers receiving low-income housing tax credits are required to set aside 5 percent of the units for individuals who are homeless or suffering from disabilities creating approximately 56 units. Family Endeavors provides SSVF services providing supportive services to low-income and homeless veteran families transitioning into permanent housing. Sanctuary House serves homeless families through a partnership between Salvation Army, Waco PHA, the City of Waco, and

referrals from Waco ISD. This program utilizes local, state, private, and RRH dollars. HUD-VASH/WPHA-CE Vouchers serves as a key resource in solving veteran/Non-VA homelessness in the area served by the CoC. This resource is regularly at full capacity and provides permanent housing for 60 veterans and their families and 25 WPHA vouchers beginning in November.

1C-6. Select the specific strategies implemented by the CoC to ensure that homelessness is not criminalized in the CoC's geographic area. Select all that apply.

Engaged/educated local policymakers:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Engaged/educated law enforcement:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Implemented communitywide plans:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No strategies have been implemented	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other:(limit 1000 characters)	
The City of Waco is the CoC Lead, enabling the City of Waco Homeless Administrator (CHA) access to City departments providing information and options vs. arrest or jail when applicable. In 2016 local law enforcement, CHA, City inspections/facilities department and city managers met to discuss increased tent camping. Increasing city ordinances or citations was never discusses as an option. Local PATH outreach teams were invited to the next meetings. As a result of crosswalk discussions, providing education, resource materials and understanding these groups in the field have a better understanding of the limitations they can provide. Expedient access to appropriate resources and a relationship with these teams is working to provide diversions to jails and stop criminalization of our homeless. UnBound a local human trafficking coalition educates law enforcement, juvenile probation and attorneys to recognize victimization that may be overlooked do to the behaviors and choices of youth.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

1D. Continuum of Care (CoC) Discharge Planning

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1D-1. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area for which there is a discharge policy in place that is mandated by the State, the CoC, or another entity for the following institutions? Check all that apply.

Foster Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

1D-2. Select the system(s) of care within the CoC's geographic area with which the CoC actively coordinates with to ensure institutionalized persons that have resided in each system of care for longer than 90 days are not discharged into homelessness. Check all that apply.

Foster Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Care:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Correctional Facilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

1D-2a. If the applicant did not check all boxes in 1D-2, explain why there is no coordination with the institution(s) that were not selected and explain how the CoC plans to coordinate with the institution(s) to ensure persons

**discharged are not discharged into homelessness.
(limit 1000 characters)**

The CoC is coordinating with the McLennan County Reintegration Roundtable to implement discharge planning standards for individuals who are released from jail. Due to safety and logistical concerns, the jail is resistant to allowing discharge case management inside of their facilities yet it remains a priority for both the Reintegration Roundtable as well as the CoC. This year the Reintegration Roundtable hired a full time staff member to implement procedures and policies to aid in reduction recidivism within our community.

1E. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment (Coordinated Entry)

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The CoC Program Interim Rule requires CoCs to establish a Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System which HUD refers to as the Coordinated Entry Process. Based on the recent Coordinated Entry Policy Brief, HUD's primary goals for the coordinated entry process are that assistance be allocated as effectively as possible and that it be easily accessible no matter where or how people present for assistance.

**1E-1. Explain how the CoC's coordinated entry process is designed to identify, engage, and assist homeless individuals and families that will ensure those who request or need assistance are connected to proper housing and services.
(limit 1000 characters)**

The coordinated entry process adopted by the CoC utilizes the VI-SPDAT and maintains dual entry point staffed by agency social workers and interns from nearby universities. The assessment tool is administered in person or by phone. As a high priority planning is currently in the works to add toll free number and 24 hour coverage making it accessible to everyone in the CoC geographic area. This process aids in matching individuals and families with highest need to the most appropriate housing. Coordinated Entry allows informed housing intervention and helps to reduce the instances of homelessness through prevention and diversion. Outreach teams provide information and referrals to unsheltered individuals and those with highest barriers regarding the Coordinated Assessment process.

Coordinated entry was advertised through public radio, social media, local news outlets, and a local website heavily visited by local social workers. This approach covered the entire CoC geographic area.

1E-2. CoC Program and ESG Program funded projects are required to participate in the coordinated entry process, but there are many other organizations and individuals who may participate but are not required to do so. From the following list, for each type of organization or individual, select all of the applicable checkboxes that indicate how that organization or individual participates in the CoC's coordinated entry process. If there

are other organizations or persons who participate but are not on this list, enter the information in the blank text box, click "Save" at the bottom of the screen, and then select the applicable checkboxes.

Organization/Person Categories	Participate s in Ongoing Planning and Evaluation	Makes Referrals to the Coordinate d Entry Process	Receives Referrals from the Coordinate d Entry Process	Operates Access Point for Coordinate d Entry Process	Participate s in Case Conferenci ng	Does not Participate	Does not Exist
Local Government Staff/Officials	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CDBG/HOME/Entitlement Jurisdiction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law Enforcement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local Jail(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hospital(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
EMT/Crisis Response Team(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mental Health Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Substance Abuse Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Affordable Housing Developer(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public Housing Authorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Youth Homeless Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-CoC Funded Victim Service Organizations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Street Outreach Team(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Waco Transit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1F-1. For all renewal project applications submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition complete the chart below regarding the CoC's review of the Annual Performance Report(s).

How many renewal project applications were submitted in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	7
How many of the renewal project applications are first time renewals for which the first operating year has not expired yet?	1
How many renewal project application APRs were reviewed by the CoC as part of the local CoC competition project review, ranking, and selection process for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition?	6
Percentage of APRs submitted by renewing projects within the CoC that were reviewed by the CoC in the 2016 CoC Competition?	100.00%

1F-2 - In the sections below, check the appropriate box(es) for each selection to indicate how project applications were reviewed and ranked for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. Written documentation of the CoC's publicly announced Rating and Review procedure must be attached.

Performance outcomes from APR reports/HMIS:	
% permanent housing exit destinations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
% increases in income	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Monitoring criteria:	
Utilization rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Drawdown rates	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Frequency or Amount of Funds Recaptured by HUD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Need for specialized population services:

Youth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Victims of Domestic Violence	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Families with Children	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Veterans	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

1F-2a. Describe how the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants that are, or will be, served by the project applications when determining project application priority. (limit 1000 characters)

The ranking of project applications is conducted by the CoC committee. The members of this committee have no ties to any program applying for funding and look at HUD priorities and agency APR's, LOCCS and audits when determining project ranking, review and reallocation determining project priority. The ranking tool incorporated HUD priorities, earned and supplemental income at exit and follow-up. Leavers exiting to a place not meant for habitation, entries from literal homelessness and no income, cost effectiveness, HMIS and CoC monitoring and PIT, HIC, and CoC Participation. The scoring tool is the same tool used by the Houston CoC and prioritizes projects that target hard to serve populations. Projects who serve these high needs populations receive additional points in a ranking system where projects with the most points are prioritized in scoring order. This practice includes reallocation of lowest performing projects to meet gaps and needs within the CoC Community.

1F-3. Describe how the CoC made the local competition review, ranking, and selection criteria publicly available, and identify the public medium(s) used and the date(s) of posting. Evidence of the public posting must be attached. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC posted the FY 2016 NOFA competition was open and announced applications would be received through August 5, 2016 for renewal applicants and through August 15, 2016 for reallocated dollars and bonus projects. The CoC publicly posted the evaluation tool, final project ranking, and the selection criteria used in the process on August 29, 2016 through the City of Waco's Website under public notice and the Heart of Texas Homeless Coalition Website These publicly available websites are also linked by social media

outlets. Award and reallocation letters were emailed on August 29, 2016 and mailed on August 30, 2016.

1F-4. On what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant publicly post all parts of the FY 2016 CoC Consolidated Application that included the final project application ranking? (Written documentation of the public posting, with the date of the posting clearly visible, must be attached. In addition, evidence of communicating decisions to the CoC's full membership must be attached). 08/29/2016

1F-5. Did the CoC use the reallocation process in the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition to reduce or reject projects for the creation of new projects? (If the CoC utilized the reallocation process, evidence of the public posting of the reallocation process must be attached.) Yes

1F-5a. If the CoC rejected project application(s), on what date did the CoC and Collaborative Applicant notify those project applicants that their project application was rejected? (If project applications were rejected, a copy of the written notification to each project applicant must be attached.) 08/29/2016

1F-6. In the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) is the CoC's FY 2016 CoC's FY 2016 Priority Listing equal to or less than the ARD on the final HUD-approved FY2016 GIW? Yes

1G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Addressing Project Capacity

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

1G-1. Describe how the CoC monitors the performance of CoC Program recipients. (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC and HMIS Lead Agency Staff monitor recipient performance through annual onsite monitoring, monthly data quality report cards, and data analysis from HUD required reports. Other monitoring methods are conducted remotely by the CoC and HMIS Lead utilizing HMIS, HDX, and E-Snaps. The CoC Committee also meets on a quarterly basis to address community wide performance measures. The CoC considers participant eligibility, destination upon completion of program, and increasing income and mainstream benefits when evaluating programs' capacity and effectiveness. For renewal programs, the CoC utilizes a scoring tool with information gathered from HUD priorities, APRs, LOCCS, and financial audits. For new programs, the CoC committee evaluates unmet needs and gaps in the community which align and follow HUD priorities.

1G-2. Did the Collaborative Applicant include accurately completed and appropriately signed form HUD-2991(s) for all project applications submitted on the CoC Priority Listing? Yes

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

Intructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2A-1. Does the CoC have a Governance Charter that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and the HMIS Lead, either within the Charter itself or by reference to a separate document like an MOU/MOA? In all cases, the CoC's Governance Charter must be attached to receive credit, In addition, if applicable, any separate document, like an MOU/MOA, must also be attached to receive credit. Yes

2A-1a. Include the page number where the roles and responsibilities of the CoC and HMIS Lead can be found in the attached document referenced in 2A-1. In addition, in the textbox indicate if the page number applies to the CoC's attached governance charter or attached MOU/MOA. p. 7-9;15-16

2A-2. Does the CoC have a HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual? If yes, in order to receive credit the HMIS Policies and Procedures Manual must be attached to the CoC Application. Yes

2A-3. Are there agreements in place that outline roles and responsibilities between the HMIS Lead and the Contributing HMIS Organization (CHOs)? Yes

2A-4. What is the name of the HMIS software ServicePoint

used by the CoC (e.g., ABC Software)?

2A-5. What is the name of the HMIS software vendor (e.g., ABC Systems)? Bowman Systems

2B. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Funding Sources

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2B-1. Select the HMIS implementation coverage area: Single CoC

*** 2B-2. In the charts below, enter the amount of funding from each funding source that contributes to the total HMIS budget for the CoC.**

2B-2.1 Funding Type: Federal - HUD

Funding Source	Funding
CoC	\$62,653
ESG	\$0
CDBG	\$15,700
HOME	\$0
HOPWA	\$0
Federal - HUD - Total Amount	\$78,353

2B-2.2 Funding Type: Other Federal

Funding Source	Funding
Department of Education	\$0
Department of Health and Human Services	\$0
Department of Labor	\$0
Department of Agriculture	\$0
Department of Veterans Affairs	\$0
Other Federal	\$0
Other Federal - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.3 Funding Type: State and Local

Funding Source	Funding
----------------	---------

City	\$0
County	\$0
State	\$0
State and Local - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.4 Funding Type: Private

Funding Source	Funding
Individual	\$0
Organization	\$0
Private - Total Amount	\$0

2B-2.5 Funding Type: Other

Funding Source	Funding
Participation Fees	\$8,513
Other - Total Amount	\$8,513

2B-2.6 Total Budget for Operating Year	\$86,866
---	-----------------

2C. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2C-1. Enter the date the CoC submitted the 2016 HIC data in HDX, (mm/dd/yyyy): 04/29/2016

2C-2. Per the 2016 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) Indicate the number of beds in the 2016 HIC and in HMIS for each project type within the CoC. If a particular project type does not exist in the CoC then enter "0" for all cells in that project type.

Project Type	Total Beds in 2016 HIC	Total Beds in HIC Dedicated for DV	Total Beds in HMIS	HMIS Bed Coverage Rate
Emergency Shelter (ESG) beds	134	55	79	100.00%
Safe Haven (SH) beds	3	0	0	0.00%
Transitional Housing (TH) beds	112	18	94	100.00%
Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	9	0	9	100.00%
Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	94	4	30	33.33%
Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	0	0	0	

2C-2a. If the bed coverage rate for any project type is below 85 percent, describe how the CoC plans to increase the bed coverage rate for each of these project types in the next 12 months. (limit 1000 characters)

Heart of Texas MHMR operates the only Safe Haven beds available in our community and we are in the process of implementing the procedure for collecting data for these 3 safe haven beds through HMIS. HUD-VASH vouchers are administered by the VA and account for 60 of our Permanent Supportive Housing beds. The VA remains resistant to sharing information on their participants; however an MOU has been signed between the VA and the CoC/HMIS Lead Agency in order to share information. This was the initial step to creating a partnership and encouraging full participation in HMIS by the VA.

2C-3. If any of the project types listed in question 2C-2 above have a coverage rate below 85 percent, and some or all of these rates can be attributed to beds covered by one of the following program types, please indicate that here by selecting all that apply from the list below.

VA Grant per diem (VA GPD):	<input type="checkbox"/>
VASH:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Faith-Based projects/Rescue mission:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Youth focused projects:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Voucher beds (non-permanent housing):	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOPWA projects:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not Applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2C-4. How often does the CoC review or assess its HMIS bed coverage? Quarterly

2D. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2D-1. Indicate the percentage of unduplicated client records with null or missing values and the percentage of "Client Doesn't Know" or "Client Refused" within the last 10 days of January 2016.

Universal Data Element	Percentage Null or Missing	Percentage Client Doesn't Know or Refused
3.1 Name	0%	0%
3.2 Social Security Number	1%	4%
3.3 Date of birth	0%	0%
3.4 Race	2%	0%
3.5 Ethnicity	0%	0%
3.6 Gender	0%	0%
3.7 Veteran status	1%	0%
3.8 Disabling condition	1%	0%
3.9 Residence prior to project entry	1%	0%
3.10 Project Entry Date	0%	0%
3.11 Project Exit Date	0%	0%
3.12 Destination	0%	0%
3.15 Relationship to Head of Household	0%	0%
3.16 Client Location	0%	0%
3.17 Length of time on street, in an emergency shelter, or safe haven	1%	0%

2D-2. Identify which of the following reports your HMIS generates. Select all that apply:

CoC Annual Performance Report (APR):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ESG Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Annual Homeless Assessment Report (AHAR) table shells:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
local food pantry reports, McKinney Vento Youth partnership with WISD, Economic demographics of the City of Waco, Prosper Waco Collective Impact report comparing employment growth vs poverty rate, CDBG quarterly and annual reports	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

None	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

2D-3. If you submitted the 2016 AHAR, how many AHAR tables (i.e., ES-ind, ES-family, etc) were accepted and used in the last AHAR? 12

2D-4. How frequently does the CoC review data quality in the HMIS? Monthly

2D-5. Select from the dropdown to indicate if standardized HMIS data quality reports are generated to review data quality at the CoC level, project level, or both. Both Project and CoC

2D-6. From the following list of federal partner programs, select the ones that are currently using the CoC's HMIS.

VA Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSVF):	<input type="checkbox"/>
VA Grant and Per Diem (GPD):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY):	<input type="checkbox"/>
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VA read only status MOU.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2D-6a. If any of the Federal partner programs listed in 2D-6 are not currently entering data in the CoC's HMIS and intend to begin entering data in the next 12 months, indicate the Federal partner program and the anticipated start date. (limit 750 characters)

Family Endeavors, an SSVF provider that is new to the area will begin data collection within HMIS in 2016. The VA has signed an MOU with the CoC to begin using HMIS. This is the initial step in a partnership designed to gain full

HMIS participation of the VA programs.
The Waco/McLennan County CoC does not currently have any programs receiving RHY funding.

2E. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

The data collected during the PIT count is vital for both CoC's and HUD. HUD needs accurate data to understand the context and nature of homelessness throughout the country, and to provide Congress and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) with information regarding services provided, gaps in service, and performance. Accurate, high quality data is vital to inform Congress' funding decisions.

- 2E-1. Did the CoC approve the final sheltered PIT count methodology for the 2016 sheltered PIT count?** Yes
- 2E-2. Indicate the date of the most recent sheltered PIT count: (mm/dd/yyyy)** 01/27/2016
- 2E-2a. If the CoC conducted the sheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, was an exception granted by HUD?** Not Applicable
- 2E-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the sheltered PIT count data in HDX: (mm/dd/yyyy)** 04/29/2016

2F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2F-1. Indicate the method(s) used to count sheltered homeless persons during the 2016 PIT count:

Complete Census Count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Random sample and extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-random sample and extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

2F-2. Indicate the methods used to gather and calculate subpopulation data for sheltered homeless persons:

HMIS:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS plus extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interview of sheltered persons:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sample of PIT interviews plus extrapolation:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

2F-3. Provide a brief description of your CoC's sheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected its sheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)

The PIT count methodology utilized a complete census count; interviews of unsheltered population, individuals at non-HMIS participating agencies and supplemented with HMIS data to ensure accuracy. Survey tool training provided

by CoC. Surveys were entered into HMIS to generate reports identifying gaps for strategic planning in our CoC. The HMIS administrator completed a report for the community showing each shelter provider's total number of beds utilized on the night of the PIT. A data quality record was run for participating agency and the final data is verified by each agency. This methodology was selected by the CoC, participating non CoC agencies and local universities. It represented the most comprehensive approach available. Surveys were completed for each sheltered individual which provided information used for future strategic planning. HMIS data verified information collected and number of beds utilized providing a comprehensive look at the homeless population within the CoC.

2F-4. Describe any change in methodology from your sheltered PIT count in 2015 to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to the implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the PIT count). (limit 1000 characters)

No change in methodology

2F-5. Did your CoC change its provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count? No

2F-5a. If "Yes" in 2F-5, then describe the change in provider coverage in the 2016 sheltered count. (limit 750 characters)

N/A

2G. Continuum of Care (CoC) Sheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2G-1. Indicate the methods used to ensure the quality of the data collected during the sheltered PIT count:

Training:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Follow-up:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-HMIS de-duplication techniques:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>

2G-2. Describe any change to the way your CoC implemented its sheltered PIT count from 2015 to 2016 that would change data quality, including changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the sheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to actual sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation methods). (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

2H. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD requires CoCs to conduct an unsheltered PIT count every 2 years (biennially) during the last 10 days in January; however, HUD also strongly encourages CoCs to conduct the unsheltered PIT count annually at the same time that they conduct annual sheltered PIT counts. HUD required CoCs to conduct the last biennial PIT count during the last 10 days in January 2015.

2H-1. Did the CoC approve the final unsheltered PIT count methodology for the most recent unsheltered PIT count? Yes

2H-2. Indicate the date of the most recent unsheltered PIT count (mm/dd/yyyy): 01/27/2016

2H-2a. If the CoC conducted the unsheltered PIT count outside of the last 10 days of January 2016, or most recent count, was an exception granted by HUD? Not Applicable

2H-3. Enter the date the CoC submitted the unsheltered PIT count data in HDX (mm/dd/yyyy): 04/29/2016

2I. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Methods

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2I-1. Indicate the methods used to count unsheltered homeless persons during the 2016 or most recent PIT count:

Night of the count - complete census:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Night of the count - known locations:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Night of the count - random sample:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service-based count:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Collaborated with the sheriff's department to get aerial view of encampments in the area to ensure complete outreach.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

2I-2. Provide a brief description of your CoC's unsheltered PIT count methodology and describe why your CoC selected this unsheltered PIT count methodology. (limit 1000 characters)

The Waco/McLennan County CoC used a night of the count-known location methodology supplemented with a service-based count for completing the 2016 Unsheltered Point-in-Time count. The geographic area covered by the CoC includes many areas that are rural and sparsely populated that have very few homeless services available. The CoC committee created a list of known locations that were identified with the help of the PATH outreach team, McLennan Co. Sheriff's Department Helicopter view and sent enumerators to these locations on the morning of January 27th, 2016. This list of locations included all the areas where homeless individuals have been known to congregate within the geography covered by the CoC. Service providers also administered surveys to individuals on the date of the count and in the 7-day period following January 27th. This methodology was chosen because it represented the most effective way to accurately count the homeless population in the CoC's geographic area.

2I-3. Describe any change in methodology from your unsheltered PIT count in 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016, including any change in sampling or extrapolation method, if applicable. Do not include information on changes to implementation of your sheltered PIT count methodology (e.g., enhanced training or change in partners participating in the count). (limit 1000 characters)

No changes in methodology

2I-4. Has the CoC taken extra measures to identify unaccompanied homeless youth in the PIT count? Yes

2I-4a. If the response in 2I-4 was "no" describe any extra measures that are being taken to identify youth and what the CoC is doing for homeless youth. (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

2J. Continuum of Care (CoC) Unsheltered Point-in-Time (PIT) Count: Data Quality

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

2J-1. Indicate the steps taken by the CoC to ensure the quality of the data collected for the 2016 unsheltered PIT count:

Training:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
"Blitz" count:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unique identifier:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Survey questions:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Enumerator observation:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Surveys were entered into HMIS to ensure deduplication	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

2J-2. Describe any change to the way the CoC implemented the unsheltered PIT count from 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015) to 2016 that would affect data quality. This includes changes to training volunteers and inclusion of any partner agencies in the unsheltered PIT count planning and implementation, if applicable. Do not include information on changes in actual methodology (e.g. change in sampling or extrapolation method). (limit 1000 characters)

There were no changes that would affect data quality

3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

3A-1. Performance Measure: Number of Persons Homeless - Point-in-Time Count.

* 3A-1a. Change in PIT Counts of Sheltered and Unsheltered Homeless Persons

Using the table below, indicate the number of persons who were homeless at a Point-in-Time (PIT) based on the 2015 and 2016 PIT counts as recorded in the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX).

	2015 PIT (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016 PIT	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered persons	255	267	12
Emergency Shelter Total	96	136	40
Safe Haven Total	2	1	-1
Transitional Housing Total	93	87	-6
Total Sheltered Count	191	224	33
Total Unsheltered Count	64	43	-21

3A-1b. Number of Sheltered Persons Homeless - HMIS.

Using HMIS data, enter the number of homeless persons who were served in a sheltered environment between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015 for each category provided.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	1,086
Emergency Shelter Total	900
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	242

3A-2. Performance Measure: First Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC's efforts to reduce the number of individuals and families who become homeless for the first time. Specifically, describe what the CoC is doing to identify risk factors of becoming homeless.

(limit 1000 characters)

Heart of Texas Homeless Coalition members underwent Diversion Training in 2015. Practicing diversion at the two entry points for the coordinated assessment system utilizes the VI- SPDAT assessment tool to identify risk factors in individuals and families. Helping to match the individual or family with the best service or housing option for their unique needs. The Salvation Army also prioritizes families and individuals who are at risk of homelessness who have a court ordered eviction notice. Salvation Army's Tenant-Based Rental Assistance program serves families and individuals who qualify as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act. The Salvation Army's TVC program also provides homeless prevention services for veteran individuals and families and provides rent and utility assistance. Family Endeavors is a new community partner which provides homeless prevention services and Rapid-Rehousing to veterans and their families.

3A-3. Performance Measure: Length of Time Homeless.

Describe the CoC's efforts to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. Specifically, describe how your CoC has reduced the average length of time homeless, including how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless.

(limit 1000 characters)

The CoC Committee has taken several planning steps to reduce the average length of homelessness. The CoC Committee created a priority for housing for those with the longest time spent homeless in the coordinated assessment system. Those with longer lengths of time homeless receive a higher acuity score and are prioritized for service. The CoC is working with agencies to develop low-barrier housing and all grantees currently use a Housing First Approach. Local emergency shelters have agreed to quickly engage participants with caseworkers. This has served to decrease the average length of homelessness by connecting participants to the housing and services they need to transition into permanent housing.

*** 3A-4. Performance Measure: Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention.**

In the next two questions, CoCs must indicate the success of its projects in placing persons from its projects into permanent housing.

3A-4a. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations:

Fill in the chart to indicate the extent to which projects exit program participants into permanent housing (subsidized or non-subsidized) or the retention of program participants in CoC Program-funded permanent supportive housing.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in SSO, TH and PH-RRH who exited	959
Of the persons in the Universe above, how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	120
% Successful Exits	12.51%

3A-4b. Exit To or Retention Of Permanent Housing:
 In the chart below, CoCs must indicate the number of persons who exited from any CoC funded permanent housing project, except rapid re-housing projects, to permanent housing destinations or retained their permanent housing between October 1, 2014 and September 31, 2015.

	Between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH	60
Of the persons in the Universe above, indicate how many of those remained in applicable PH projects and how many of those exited to permanent destinations?	55
% Successful Retentions/Exits	91.67%

3A-5. Performance Measure: Returns to Homelessness: Describe the CoCs efforts to reduce the rate of individuals and families who return to homelessness. Specifically, describe strategies your CoC has implemented to identify and minimize returns to homelessness, and demonstrate the use of HMIS or a comparable database to monitor and record returns to homelessness. (limit 1000 characters)

Strategies to reduce individuals and families returning to homelessness by our CoC rely heavily on our System Performance Measures, which monitors and allows our HMIS/ Coordinated Entry and CoC's to analyze data that identify gaps and need. The CoC's decision to reallocate funds of lower performing projects will aid in bridging those gaps. The SSO-Coordinated Access application and addition of housing navigation supports will provide the links needed to engage Landlords, developers and management companies to adapt procedures to provide support and direction. If transition or relocation cannot be avoided both client and landlord must experience limited trauma and ease of transition in order to maintain partnerships. With the end goal of the client maintaining other suitable housing options with intense service guidance through the links Coordinated Entry provides through our local CoC providers.

3A-6. Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Performance Measure: Job and Income Growth. Describe the CoC's specific strategies to assist CoC Program-funded projects to increase program participants' cash income from employment and non-employment non-cash sources. (limit 1000 characters)

Several strategies implemented by CoC projects to increase employment related income. Specifically, Mission Waco has created programs targeting low income adults and homeless youth 16-24 year olds for job training. Culinary and forklift classes are also offered to service recipients. The Heart of Texas MHMR Center has created a supported employment program where individuals in PSH are paired with supportive services such as transportation, job coaching, and job training.

All CoC programs participate in the Community Partner Program. In this initiative, those receiving services from CoC projects are enrolled in all benefit programs for which they qualify. Each CoC project works to enroll participants into benefits and their success on this effort is measured during the project ranking and evaluation process.

**3A-6a. Describe how the CoC is working with mainstream employment organizations to aid homeless individuals and families in increasing their income.
(limit 1000 characters)**

CoC collaborates and connects clients with social services, staffing agencies and local employers to place people in full-time jobs. Waco Foundational Employment Network (WFEN) bridges the gap of the above service community. Allowing job seekers support to expedited and maintain employment, while providing employers direct link to social services if an issue arose. WFEN tracks the number of job placements and employment turnover to increase the rate local employers hire and retain employees; addressing the employment and income goals. The Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Heart of Texas Goodwill (HOTG), and Economic Opportunities Advancement Corporation (EOAC) increase income of homeless individuals and families. Clients referrals to: TWC for job search and application assistance, HoTG for job training skills, job search, interview skills, and resume building, EOAC for job-readiness training, job coaching, and support services including: work clothes, uniforms, and safety items.

**3A-7. What was the the criteria and decision-making process the CoC used to identify and exclude specific geographic areas from the CoC's unsheltered PIT count?
(limit 1000 characters)**

The geographic area covered by the Continuum of Care includes many areas that are rural and sparsely populated that have extremely limited homeless services available. The CoC committee created a list of known locations that were identified with the help of the PATH outreach team, local law enforcement and sent enumerators to these locations on the morning of the Point-In-Time count. This list of locations included all the known locations where homeless individuals have been known to congregate within the geography covered by the CoC. In an effort to expand the coverage of the PIT count, the CoC partners with an additional social service agency in Falls County out outreaches to others. These partnerships resulted in zero additional surveys submitted. The CoC plans to continue to partner with social service agencies in rural counties for future PIT counts.

3A-7a. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. disasters)? No

**3A-7b. Did the CoC completely exclude geographic areas from the the most recent PIT count (i.e., no one counted there and, for communities using samples the area was excluded from both the sample and extrapolation) where the CoC determined that there were no unsheltered homeless people, including areas that are uninhabitable (e.g. deserts, wilderness, etc.)?
(limit 1000 characters)**

NO areas were excluded from our most recent PIT count, Falls county, a neighboring community responded however no surveys were administered due to no homeless being identified.

**3A-8. Enter the date the CoC submitted the system performance measure data into HDX. The System Performance Report generated by HDX must be attached.
(mm/dd/yyyy)** 08/01/2016

**3A-8a. If the CoC was unable to submit their System Performance Measures data to HUD via the HDX by the deadline, explain why and describe what specific steps they are taking to ensure they meet the next HDX submission deadline for System Performance Measures data.
(limit 1500 characters)**

Deadline was met.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 1: Ending Chronic Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

To end chronic homelessness by 2017, HUD encourages three areas of focus through the implementation of Notice CPD 14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status.

1. Targeting persons with the highest needs and longest histories of homelessness for existing and new permanent supportive housing;
2. Prioritizing chronically homeless individuals, youth and families who have the longest histories of homelessness; and
3. The highest needs for new and turnover units.

3B-1.1. Compare the total number of chronically homeless persons, which includes persons in families, in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered chronically homeless persons	40	35	-5
Sheltered Count of chronically homeless persons	18	14	-4
Unsheltered Count of chronically homeless persons	22	21	-1

3B-1.1a. Using the "Differences" calculated in question 3B-1.1 above, explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the overall TOTAL number of chronically homeless persons in the CoC, as well as the change in the unsheltered count, as reported in the PIT count in 2016 compared to 2015. (limit 1000 characters)

We decreased by a 5 person total.

3B-1.2. Compare the total number of PSH beds (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count, as compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count.

	2015	2016	Difference
Number of CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded PSH beds dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons identified on the HIC.	47	44	-3

3B-1.2a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of PSH beds (CoC program funded or non-CoC Program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory Count. (limit 1000 characters)

Our CoC Community has no increase or no change; however, due to our CoC Heart of Texas Regional Mental Health Mental Retardation Program combining 3 grants into 2 grants our number of PSH beds increased by 2. The merging of the 2 grants, one chronic and one non-chronic decreased the number of chronic beds by 3.

3B-1.3. Did the CoC adopt the Orders of Priority into their standards for all CoC Program funded PSH as described in Notice CPD-14-012: Prioritizing Persons Experiencing Chronic Homelessness in Permanent Supportive Housing and Recordkeeping Requirements for Documenting Chronic Homeless Status? Yes

3B-1.3a. If “Yes” was selected for question 3B-1.3, attach a copy of the CoC’s written standards or other evidence that clearly shows the incorporation of the Orders of Priority in Notice CPD 14-012 and indicate the page(s) for all documents where the Orders of Priority are found. p10,13-15/HMiS

3B-1.4. Is the CoC on track to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness by 2017? Yes

This question will not be scored.

3B-1.4a. If the response to question 3B-1.4 was “Yes” what are the strategies that have been implemented by the CoC to maximize current resources to meet this goal? If “No” was selected, what resources or technical assistance will be implemented by the CoC to reach to goal of ending chronically homelessness by 2017? (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC strategy is to utilize coordinated access and designate beds for applicants that demonstrate the greatest need during the intake process. The CoC has written and approved policies and procedures that clearly identify the CoC priorities and how both new and renewal projects will be evaluated for funding. New projects selected reflect the need/gaps in the CoC community and meet HUD’s Homeless Policy and Program Priorities. Hud’s requirement of utilizing System Performance Measures aided our CoC to take a more in-depth look at Homeless Shelters with a concentrated area of focus on moving long term stays to housing and services that secure the outcomes needed to meet a minimum goal of “functioning 0” for our chronic homelessness. The CoC will continue to provide training on utilizing Medicaid to pay for supportive services and housing.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Strategic Planning Objectives

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

HUD will evaluate CoC's based on the extent to which they are making progress to achieve the goal of ending homelessness among households with children by 2020.

3B-2.1. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize households with children during the FY2016 Operating year? (Check all that apply).

Vulnerability to victimization:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Number of previous homeless episodes:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unsheltered homelessness:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Criminal History:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Bad credit or rental history (including not having been a leaseholder):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Head of household has mental/physical disabilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sanuary House -Using HMIS/local school district as point of entry/Waco Housing Authority Collobration to expedite into housing.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.2. Describe the CoC's strategies including concrete steps to rapidly rehouse every household with children within 30 days of those families becoming homeless. (limit 1000 characters)

Coordinated entry process implemented by the Waco/McLennan County CoC utilizes the VI-SPDAT which allows for individuals and families seeking assistance to be matched with the most appropriate housing intervention for their needs and helps to reduce the instances of homelessness through prevention and diversion activities administered at the beginning of the process. Two agencies have changed TH programs to RRH to increase beds available for homeless families since 2013. Our CoC using the practice of reallocating low performing programs to enhance programing needs and fill gaps identified. This is accomplished through Heart of Texas Homeless Coalition (HOTHC) sub committees with a focus on gaps/needs assessment. A collaboration using non-HUD funding has also been developed involving the Salvation Army, Waco ISD, Waco Housing Authority, Baylor University Interns and the City of Waco to provide rapidly rehouse families who fit the McKinney Vento definitions.

3B-2.3. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve families from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve families in the HIC:	0	9	9

3B-2.4. How does the CoC ensure that emergency shelters, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) providers within the CoC do not deny admission to or separate any family members from other members of their family based on age, sex, gender or disability when entering shelter or housing? (check all strategies that apply)

CoC policies and procedures prohibit involuntary family separation:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
There is a method for clients to alert CoC when involuntarily separated:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CoC holds trainings on preventing involuntary family separation, at least once a year:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Housing prioritized to maintain family unity.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
McKinney Vento families are part of Sanucary House collobration designed to keep families intact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.5. Compare the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

PIT Count of Homelessness Among Households With Children

	2015 (for unsheltered count,		
FY2016 CoC Application		Page 44	01/19/2017

	most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless households with children:	29	43	14
Sheltered Count of homeless households with children:	28	43	15
Unsheltered Count of homeless households with children:	1	0	-1

3B-2.5a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless households with children in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

The numbers of sheltered households increase by 15 families was due to a huge repair in our largest (55 beds) shelter in our CoC geographical. This shelter is also our only Domestic Violence Shelter in the geographical area serving multiply counties. A major plumbing leak resulted in the closure of an entire wing including client rooms and bath rooms from January to April of 2015.

3B-2.6. From the list below select the strategies to the CoC uses to address the unique needs of unaccompanied homeless youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24, including the following.

Human trafficking and other forms of exploitation?	Yes
LGBTQ youth homelessness?	No
Exits from foster care into homelessness?	Yes
Family reunification and community engagement?	Yes
Positive Youth Development, Trauma Informed Care, and the use of Risk and Protective Factors in assessing youth housing and service needs?	Yes
Unaccompanied minors/youth below the age of 18?	Yes

3B-2.6a. Select all strategies that the CoC uses to address homeless youth trafficking and other forms of exploitation.

Diversion from institutions and decriminalization of youth actions that stem from being trafficked:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Increase housing and service options for youth fleeing or attempting to flee trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Specific sampling methodology for enumerating and characterizing local youth trafficking:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cross systems strategies to quickly identify and prevent occurrences of youth trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Community awareness training concerning youth trafficking:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Human traffickicking board facilitating the organization Unbound an agency activating local communités to fight human trafficking.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.7. What factors will the CoC use to prioritize unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 for housing and services during the FY 2016 operating year? (Check all that apply)

Vulnerability to victimization:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Length of time homeless:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Unsheltered homelessness:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Lack of access to family and community support networks:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
LGBTQ status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Disabled Youth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
N/A:	<input type="checkbox"/>

3B-2.8. Using HMIS, compare all unaccompanied youth including youth under age 18, and youth ages 18-24 served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2014 (October 1, 2013-September 30, 2014) and FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2015).

	FY 2014 (October 1, 2013 - September 30, 2014)	FY 2015 (October 1, 2014 - September 30, 2105)	Difference
Total number of unaccompanied youth served in HMIS contributing programs who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry:	161	168	7

3B-2.8a. If the number of unaccompanied youth and children, and youth-headed households with children served in any HMIS contributing program who were in an unsheltered situation prior to entry in FY 2015 is lower than FY 2014 explain why. (limit 1000 characters)

The number of unaccompanied youth served in HMIS contributing programs increased in FY 2015

3B-2.9. Compare funding for youth homelessness in the CoC's geographic area in CY 2016 and CY 2017.

	Calendar Year 2016	Calendar Year 2017	Difference
Overall funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (CoC Program and non-CoC Program funded):	\$18,500.00	\$253,334.00	\$234,834.00
CoC Program funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects:	\$0.00	\$73,334.00	\$73,334.00
Non-CoC funding for youth homelessness dedicated projects (e.g. RHY or other Federal, State and Local funding):	\$18,500.00	\$180,000.00	\$161,500.00

3B-2.10. To what extent have youth services and educational representatives, and CoC representatives participated in each other's meetings between July 1, 2015 and June 30, 2016?

Cross-Participation in Meetings	# Times
CoC meetings or planning events attended by LEA or SEA representatives:	14
LEA or SEA meetings or planning events (e.g. those about child welfare, juvenile justice or out of school time) attended by CoC representatives:	3
CoC meetings or planning events attended by youth housing and service providers (e.g. RHY providers):	18

3B-2.10a. Based on the responses in 3B-2.10, describe in detail how the CoC collaborates with the McKinney-Vento local educational authorities and school districts. (limit 1000 characters)

The local education agency is a member of the CoC Board and participates during the Heart of Texas Homeless Coalition meetings. The local education agency has an MOU with HMIS and participates in the planning and activities of: HMIS Advisory Meetings, Agency Advisory, Coordinated Entry, and serves on the CoC Board. The CoC lead also participates in the planning and activities of the COVE, unaccompanied youth planning team, Human Trafficking Coalition, VOICE, a VASA program, teaches healthy living skills, including how to avoid substance abuse. The City of Waco is an active participant of the Prosper Waco Initiative; the local collective impact initiative with the goals of improving the education, health, and financial security of the community. This Initiative includes youth and or their families.

3B-2.11. How does the CoC make sure that homeless individuals and families who become homeless are informed of their eligibility for and receive access to educational services? Include the policies and procedures that homeless service providers (CoC and ESG Programs) are required to follow. (limit 2000 characters)

During intake, applicants are screened for eligibility for Mainstream benefits as

well as other supportive services. Referrals and links to services are provided during intake. Applicants with children are provided with the name and phone number of the ISD Homeless Liaison for their district. A follow-up call is made by the intake worker to ensure that the applicant is connected to educational services. The Homeless Liaisons can provide a variety of services to the children including facilitating enrollment, school uniforms, transportation, schools supplies, meal programs, mentoring and tutoring programs. The Homeless Liaisons participate in CoC planning and collaborate with local agencies to develop programs that meet the needs of homeless children. Additionally, the Liaisons work with the area youth shelter, local law enforcement and PATH teams when unaccompanied homeless youth are identified.

**3B-2.12. Does the CoC or any HUD-funded projects within the CoC have any written agreements with a program that services infants, toddlers, and youth children, such as Head Start; Child Care and Development Fund; Healthy Start; Maternal, Infant, Early Childhood Home Visiting programs; Public Pre-K; and others?
(limit 1000 characters)**

Yes, Our CoC Lead agency had an MOU with WISD to use HMIS in their district. The COVE for unaccompanied will begin providing services on October 15, and a MOU is currently being secured.

3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives

Objective 3: Ending Veterans Homelessness

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

Opening Doors outlines the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. The following questions focus on the various strategies that will aid communities in meeting this goal.

3B-3.1. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015).

	2015 (for unsheltered count, most recent year conducted)	2016	Difference
Universe: Total PIT count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	36	17	-19
Sheltered count of homeless veterans:	20	11	-9
Unsheltered count of homeless veterans:	16	6	-10

3B-3.1a. Explain the reason(s) for any increase, or no change in the total number of homeless veterans in the CoC as reported in the 2016 PIT count compared to the 2015 PIT count. (limit 1000 characters)

We decreased by 19 veterans

3B-3.2. Describe how the CoC identifies, assesses, and refers homeless veterans who are eligible for Veterean's Affairs services and housing to appropriate reources such as HUD-VASH and SSVF. (limit 1000 characters)

The CoC has implemented several strategies to ensure that Veterans who are eligible for VA services are identified, assessed, and referred to appropriate resources. The primary partner in this effort is the Central Texas Veterans

Health Care system. VA social workers and outreach staff work diligently to connect veterans who are homeless or at risk of homelessness to HUD-VASH, SSVF, and the Vet Per Diem program as well as other VA eligible services offered through the VA. Eligible veterans are also referred to the Texas Veterans Commission program through the Salvation Army which provides security deposits for veterans receiving VASH vouchers. The creation of a coordinated assessment process has assisted in the identification, assessment, and referral of veterans to appropriate resources. The coordinated assessment system makes use of the VI-SPDAT and HMIS data at entry point locations and allows staff to make a referral to appropriate resources.

3B-3.3. Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC and the total number of unsheltered homeless Veterans in the CoC, as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT Count compared to the 2010 PIT Count (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010).

	2010 (or 2009 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2010)	2016	% Difference
Total PIT Count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless veterans:	16	11	-31.25%
Unsheltered Count of homeless veterans:	18	6	-66.67%

3B-3.4. Indicate from the dropdown whether you are on target to end Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016. Yes

This question will not be scored.

3B-3.4a. If "Yes", what are the strategies being used to maximize your current resources to meet this goal? If "No" what resources or technical assistance would help you reach the goal of ending Veteran homelessness by the end of 2016? (limit 1000 characters)

Since 2006 the local VA has employed Homeless Outreach Case Managers. The Case Managers are very active in the local homeless coalition and conduct daily outreach providing information at shelters, soup kitchens, jails and places where people congregate during the day. In 2009, McLennan County was one of the first counties in Texas to apply through our local housing authority for VASH vouchers resulting in assistance for 60 veterans and their families. Every other Friday the VA joins the PATH outreach team workers at encampments to present information on VA services and programs. The PATH team also works with local law enforcement on evening ride-outs and refers all veterans to VA Homeless Outreach Case Managers.

4A. Accessing Mainstream Benefits

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4A-1. Does the CoC systematically provide information to provider staff about mainstream benefits, including up-to-date resources on eligibility and program changes that can affect homeless clients? Yes

4A-2. Based on the CoC's FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of projects have demonstrated they are assisting project participants to obtain mainstream benefits? This includes all of the following within each project: transportation assistance, use of a single application, annual follow-ups with participants, and SOAR-trained staff technical assistance to obtain SSI/SSDI?

FY 2016 Assistance with Mainstream Benefits

Total number of project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	9
Total number of renewal and new project applications that demonstrate assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits (i.e. In a Renewal Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 2a, 2b and 2c on Screen 4A. In a New Project Application, "Yes" is selected for Questions 5a, 5b, 5c, 6, and 6a on Screen 4A).	9
Percentage of renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that have demonstrated assistance to project participants to obtain mainstream benefits:	100%

4A-3. List the organizations (public, private, non-profit and other) that you collaborate with to facilitate health insurance enrollment, (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, Affordable Care Act options) for program participants. For each organization you partner with, detail the specific outcomes resulting from the partnership in the establishment of benefits. (limit 1000 characters)

Mission Waco houses a free clinic in partnership with the local Family Health Services. Mission Waco is one of the main homeless shelters that serves chronically homeless and many attend the free clinic where they are connected to health care. The CoC has developed a collaboration with Providence Health Care Emergency Room and Baylor-Scott and White Hospital. Social workers keep the CoC up to date on their policies, links and services offered or referred when those identified as homeless are admitted. The City of Waco has adopted a collective impact model to substantially reduce poverty. Currently the CoC is

working with Prosper Waco on 3 areas of focus: Healthcare, Education, and Financial growth. One of the goals of the Prosper Waco Healthcare initiative is to facilitate health insurance enrollment for the community. The goal is to have an MOU in place by the end of 2017 that insures the CoC partners have documented due diligence in making these connections.

4A-4. What are the primary ways the CoC ensures that program participants with health insurance are able to effectively utilize the healthcare benefits available to them?

Educational materials:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
In-Person Trainings:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transportation to medical appointments:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
During our Annual Project Homeless Connect Healthcare screening including HIV testing,	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dental Appointments including cleaning, extractions are also offered during Annual Project Homeless Connect	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Veteran Healthcare and Benifits are heavily represented at Annual Project Homeless Connect	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Not Applicable or None:	<input type="checkbox"/>

4B. Additional Policies

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2016 CoC Application Detailed Instructions and the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition NOFA. Please submit technical questions to the HUD Exchange Ask A Question.

4B-1. Based on the CoCs FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), and SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) projects in the CoC are low barrier?

FY 2016 Low Barrier Designation

Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	9
Total number of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications that selected "low barrier" in the FY 2016 competition:	9
Percentage of PH (PSH and RRH), TH and non-Coordinated Entry SSO renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as "low barrier":	100%

4B-2. What percentage of CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Re-Housing (RRH), SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) and Transitional Housing (TH) FY 2016 Projects have adopted a Housing First approach, meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements?

FY 2016 Projects Housing First Designation

Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH project applications in the FY 2016 competition (new and renewal):	9
Total number of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications that selected Housing First in the FY 2016 competition:	9
Percentage of PSH, RRH, non-Coordinated Entry SSO, and TH renewal and new project applications in the FY 2016 competition that will be designated as Housing First:	100%

4B-3. What has the CoC done to ensure awareness of and access to housing and supportive services within the CoC's geographic area to persons that could benefit from CoC-funded programs but are not currently participating in a CoC funded program? In particular, how does the CoC reach out to for persons that are least likely to request housing or services in the absence of special outreach?

Direct outreach and marketing:	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 30px; height: 30px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 0 auto;">X</div>
--------------------------------	---

Use of phone or internet-based services like 211:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Marketing in languages commonly spoken in the community:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Making physical and virtual locations accessible to those with disabilities:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Direct Outreach from Homelessness Administrator	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not applicable:	<input type="checkbox"/>

4B-4. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve populations from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.

	2015	2016	Difference
RRH units available to serve all populations in the HIC:	0	9	9

4B-5. Are any new proposed project applications requesting \$200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction? No

4B-6. If "Yes" in Questions 4B-5, then describe the activities that the project(s) will undertake to ensure that employment, training and other economic opportunities are directed to low or very low income persons to comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u) (Section 3) and HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 135? (limit 1000 characters)

N/A

4B-7. Is the CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO or TH projects to serve families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes? No

4B-7a. If "Yes", to question 4B-7, describe how the use of grant funds to serve such persons is of equal or greater priority than serving persons

defined as homeless in accordance with 24 CFR 578.89. Description must include whether or not this is listed as a priority in the Consolidated Plan(s) and its CoC strategic plan goals. CoCs must attach the list of projects that would be serving this population (up to 10 percent of CoC total award) and the applicable portions of the Consolidated Plan. (limit 2500 characters)

N/A

4B-8. Has the project been affected by a major disaster, as declared by the President Obama under Title IV of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, as amended (Public Law 93-288) in the 12 months prior to the opening of the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition? No

4B-8a. If "Yes" in Question 4B-8, describe the impact of the natural disaster on specific projects in the CoC and how this affected the CoC's ability to address homelessness and provide the necessary reporting to HUD. (limit 1500 characters)

N/A

4B-9. Did the CoC or any of its CoC program recipients/subrecipients request technical assistance from HUD since the submission of the FY 2015 application? This response does not affect the scoring of this application. No

4B-9a. If "Yes" to Question 4B-9, check the box(es) for which technical assistance was requested.

This response does not affect the scoring of this application.

CoC Governance:	<input type="checkbox"/>
CoC Systems Performance Measurement:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Coordinated Entry:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Data reporting and data analysis:	<input type="checkbox"/>
HMIS:	<input type="checkbox"/>

Homeless subpopulations targeted by Opening Doors: veterans, chronic, children and families, and unaccompanied youth:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maximizing the use of mainstream resources:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Retooling transitional housing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rapid re-housing:	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under-performing program recipient, subrecipient or project:	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>
Not applicable:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4B-9b. Indicate the type(s) of Technical Assistance that was provided, using the categories listed in 4B-9a, provide the month and year the CoC Program recipient or sub-recipient received the assistance and the value of the Technical Assistance to the CoC/recipient/sub recipient involved given the local conditions at the time, with 5 being the highest value and a 1 indicating no value.

Type of Technical Assistance Received	Date Received	Rate the Value of the Technical Assistance

4C. Attachments

Instructions:

Multiple files may be attached as a single .zip file. For instructions on how to use .zip files, a reference document is available on the e-snaps training site:
<https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/3118/creating-a-zip-file-and-capturing-a-screenshot-resource>

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
01. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Evidence of the CoC's communication to rejected participants	Yes	Evidence of rejec...	09/14/2016
02. 2016 CoC Consolidated Application: Public Posting Evidence	Yes	Evidence of Posti...	09/14/2016
03. CoC Rating and Review Procedure (e.g. RFP)	Yes	2016 Ranking and ...	09/12/2016
04. CoC's Rating and Review Procedure: Public Posting Evidence	Yes	Evidence of Publi...	09/14/2016
05. CoCs Process for Reallocating	Yes	2016 Reallcation ...	09/12/2016
06. CoC's Governance Charter	Yes	2016 CoC Policy a...	09/12/2016
07. HMIS Policy and Procedures Manual	Yes	2016 HMIS Policy ...	09/12/2016
08. Applicable Sections of Con Plan to Serving Persons Defined as Homeless Under Other Fed Statutes	No		
09. PHA Administration Plan (Applicable Section(s) Only)	Yes	PHA consolidate ...	09/14/2016
10. CoC-HMIS MOU (if referenced in the CoC's Governance Charter)	No	CoC- HOTHC HMIS MOU	09/12/2016
11. CoC Written Standards for Order of Priority	No	CoC written stand...	09/14/2016
12. Project List to Serve Persons Defined as Homeless under Other Federal Statutes (if applicable)	No		
13. HDX-system Performance Measures	Yes	2016 System Perfo...	09/12/2016
14. Other	No	MOU's suporting d...	09/14/2016
15. Other	No	HMIS Policy Manua...	09/14/2016

Attachment Details

Document Description: Evidence of rejected participant CoC 2016

Attachment Details

Document Description: Evidence of Posting CoC FY2016

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2016 Ranking and Review Procedure

Attachment Details

Document Description: Evidence of Public Posting

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2016 Reallcation Process

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2016 CoC Policy and Procedure.HMIS

Monitoring of record keeping for CPD-14-012

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2016 HMIS Policy and Procedure Manual

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA consolidate plan

Attachment Details

Document Description: CoC- HOTHM HMIS MOU

Attachment Details

Document Description: CoC written standard of order of priority 2016

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: 2016 System Performance Measures

Attachment Details

Document Description: MOU's supporting documentation

Attachment Details

Document Description: HMIS Policy Manual FY 2016

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page		Last Updated
1A. Identification		08/23/2016
1B. CoC Engagement		09/12/2016
1C. Coordination		09/13/2016
FY2016 CoC Application	Page 61	01/19/2017

1D. CoC Discharge Planning	09/09/2016
1E. Coordinated Assessment	09/09/2016
1F. Project Review	09/14/2016
1G. Addressing Project Capacity	09/09/2016
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/10/2016
2B. HMIS Funding Sources	09/08/2016
2C. HMIS Beds	09/14/2016
2D. HMIS Data Quality	09/13/2016
2E. Sheltered PIT	09/14/2016
2F. Sheltered Data - Methods	09/10/2016
2G. Sheltered Data - Quality	09/10/2016
2H. Unsheltered PIT	09/14/2016
2I. Unsheltered Data - Methods	09/10/2016
2J. Unsheltered Data - Quality	09/10/2016
3A. System Performance	09/10/2016
3B. Objective 1	09/11/2016
3B. Objective 2	09/13/2016
3B. Objective 3	09/11/2016
4A. Benefits	09/14/2016
4B. Additional Policies	09/13/2016
4C. Attachments	09/14/2016
Submission Summary	No Input Required